

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/039,357	PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Douglas S. Rupert.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2006

Time: 14:08

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I've called Mr. Rupert numerous times at the last known contact phone number of 312.346.8000 (see page 11 of 7/19/5 amendment). The receptionist at this phone number forwards callers to a "departing attorney mailbox". Several iterations of this over the last week were conducted. Other phone numbers in the Palm system for Mr. Rupert were tried to no avail..